Tadpole transport by male *Oophaga pumilio* (Anura: Dendrobatidae): An observation and brief review

Allison M. Killius and Matthew B. Dugas*

Across taxonomic groups, parents provide post-zygotic care to offspring, most commonly by offering protection (e.g., from predators, parasites, or harsh environments) and/or by delivering exogenous food (Smiseth et al., 2012). The extent of such post-zygotic parental investment is a key life-history trait (Stearns, 1992), and in sexually reproducing organisms, the relative investment that each sex makes has broad evolutionary consequences (Trivers, 1972). Specifically, sexual selection is typically more intense in the sex that invests less in parental care, resulting most often in showy males and choosy females (Trivers, 1972). In amphibians, as in most animals, females produce nutrient-rich eggs in which they presumably invest far more than males do in sperm, but the sum of investment made by each sex can be further shaped by post-zygotic care (Duellman and Trueb, 1986; Dugas et al. in press).

Clutch attendance is relatively common amphibians, and it is usually the male that performs this task (Duellman and Trueb, 1986; Beck, 1998). Species of the genus Leptodactylus deposit fertilized eggs in foam nests that protect larvae, often along with unfertilized eggs upon which tadpoles feed while they develop (Shepard and Caldwell, 2005). In frogs that lay terrestrial eggs, even more elaborate care has evolved (Crump, 1996). For example, in Hyalinobatrachium valerioi (Centrolenidae), males guard and moisten eggs, and male removal results in a significantly higher mortality rate (Vockenhuber et al., 2009); analogous behaviour appears to be present in other frogs as well (Wells 1977; Duellman and Trueb, 1986; Brust, 1993; Beck, 1998). Elaborate post-zygotic parental care is especially common in dendrobatid frogs, where one

or both parents often contribute egg tending, tadpole transport, and continued feeding of tadpoles throughout development (Savage, 1968; Weygoldt, 1980; Beck, 1998; Pröhl and Hödl, 1999). These behaviours are generally presumed to be costly, and thus the relative behavioural roles of parents are thought to be a useful proxy for predicting the strength of sexual selection (e.g., Summers et al., 1997). Establishing the extent of parental roles is, therefore, a key part of generating and testing predictions about the evolution of parental care (e.g., Beck, 1998).

Oophaga pumilio (Schmidt, 1857) is a dendrobatid native to Central America, ranging from southern Nicaragua to western Panama (Guyer and Donnelly, 2005). Females lay terrestrial eggs that are tended by males (Weygoldt, 1980; Crump, 1996; Pröhl and Hödl, 1999). Female O. pumilio provision growing tadpoles with unfertilized eggs regularly throughout their development (Brust, 1993), and are typically reported as the sex that transports tadpoles to rearing sites (Young, 1979; Weygoldt, 1980; Pröhl and Hödl, 1999; Stynoski, 2009). We report here on an observation of tadpole transport by a male O pumilio (Figure 1) in a captive breeding colony at Tulane University, LA, USA (see Dugas et al. 2013 for details). On May 15, 2014, while performing routine censusing, we observed a male with a tadpole on its back (Figure 1). We replaced the lid of the enclosure and attempted to observe the male's behaviour, but because of the dense vegetation in the tank, we were unable to do so in a way that did not disturb the male. On the following day, we re-checked tadpole deposition sites (PVC tubes) and found two new tadpoles. We are unaware of any instances of adult frogs transferring tadpoles or of females picking up tadpoles from anywhere other than the reproductive clutch, and so this observation is most consistent with deposition by the male (also reported by Weygoldt, 1980). Both tadpoles died by the next census, one week later.

This observation of tadpole transport by a male

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana 70118, USA

^{*} Corresponding author. email: matthew.b.dugas@gmail.com



Figure 1. A male *Oophaga pumilio* transporting a newly hatched tadpole. Inset (bottom right) is a photograph showing the male-typical dark vocal sac of this individual. This observation was made in a captive breeding colony at Tulane University (LA, USA), where male-female pairs were held together in plastic enclosures.

O.pumilio joins three previous reports of this behaviour, two published (Weygoldt, 1980; Cossio, 2008) and one anecdotal (cited in Wells, 1997 without further details). Two observations were made in a captive population of unknown origin (probably Costa Rica: Weygoldt, 1980), and one in the field on Isla Popa, Bocas del Toro, Panama (Cossio, 2008). The male we observed was captured on Isla Bastimentos, a Bocas del Toro population genetically distinct from the one in which the previous observation was made (Wang and Shaffer, 2008), suggesting that male tadpole transport may be widespread in this species. Although parental care behaviours are typically described as male or female, overlap in sex roles is not unusual (Smiseth et al., 2012). For example, male Allobates femoralis (Dendrobatidae) predominantly transport tadpoles, but performed this task in 10 of 129 cases observed in the wild (Ringler et al., 2013). Because male O.pumilio (and other dendrobatids) moisten eggs and are thus in regular contact with developing embryos, opportunities for tadpoles to climb on their fathers' backs are presumably frequent in both the laboratory and the wild. Of interest in future work will be the tadpole and parent traits that mediate which sex primarily transports offspring.

This overlap in sex roles is interesting for a number of reasons, especially if this phenomenon turns out to be widespread in frogs with parental care. First, comparative studies assigning care to one sex (e.g., Beck, 1998) may be improved by accommodating such variation, especially with respect to correctly identifying the number of times a behaviour evolved de novo (Shepard and Caldwell, 2008). This lack of complete specialization by the sexes also raises questions about coevolved traits. For example, when females provision tadpoles, the need to repeatedly visit sites presumably requires derived cognitive abilities, and it is unclear how a female would find a tadpole deposited by a male without complex behavioural traits (e.g., Caldwell and de Oliveira, 1999), which are apparently absent in O.pumilio (Stynoski, 2009). It is, however, difficult to attribute the death of the male-transported tadpole we observed to maternal neglect; in our lab colony 57% of all tadpoles die before metamorphosis, and we have recorded 15 tadpoles that survived 27.3 ± 14 (mean \pm SD) days without ever being fed (unpublished data).

We detected male tadpole transport in *O.pumilio* only because it occurred in a captive pair in which the male and female were from phenotypically distinct populations, and another observation was made because the male was calling (Cossio, 2008). For justifiable ethical reasons, it is unusual for observers to capture and inspect frogs carrying tadpoles and instead most simply assume the behaviour was being executed by the sex reported to perform it. However, the documentation of variation in this behaviour may provide valuable insights into the evolutionary causes (Ringler et al., 2013) and consequences (Summers et al., 1997) of parental care.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (DEB-1146370). The Panamanian National Authority for the Environment (ANAM) provided research permission and this work complied with IACUC protocols (Tulane University No. 0832R and STRI No. 2012.0519.2015). We thank the Richards-Zawacki lab for assistance in colony maintenance and Donald B. Shepard and Raffael Ernst for advice during the preparation of this manuscript.

References

Beck, C.W. (1998): Mode of fertilization and parental care in anurans. Animal Behaviour 55: 439-449.

Brust, D.G. (1993): Maternal brood care by *Dendrobates pumilio*: A frog that feeds its young. Journal of Herpetology 27: 96-98.Caldwell, J.P., de Oliveira, R.L. (1999): Determinants of biparental

- care in the spotted poison frog, *Dendrobates vanzolinii* (Anura: Dendrobatidae). Copeia **3**: 565-575.
- Cossio, R. (2008): Oophaga pumilio (Strawberry Poison Frog) Parental Care. Herpetological Review 39: 462.
- Crump, M.L. (1996): Parental care among the Amphibia. In: Parental Care. Evolution, mechanisms, and adaptive significance, p. 109-144. Rosenblatt, J.S., Snowdon, C.T., Eds., San Diego, Academic Press.
- Duellman, W.E. and Trueb, L. (1986): Biology of Amphibians. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Dugas, M.B., Yeager, J. and Richards-Zawacki, C.L. (2013): Carotenoid supplementation enhances reproductive success in the captive strawberry poison frogs (*Oophaga pumilio*). Zoo Biology 32: 655–658.
- Dugas, M.B, Wamelink, C.N. and Richards-Zawacki, C.L. (in press): Both sexes pay a cost of reproduction in a frog with biparental care. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society.
- Guyer, C., Donnelly, M.A. (2005): Amphibians and Reptiles of La Selva, Costa Rica, and the Caribbean Slope, p. 299. Berkeley, University of California Press.
- Pröhl, H., Hödl, W. (1999): Parental investment, potential reproductive rates, and mating system in the strawberry dartpoison frog, *Dendrobates pumilio*. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 46: 215-220.
- Ringler, E., Pašukonis, A., Hödl, W., Ringler, M. (2013): Tadpole transport logistics in a Neotropical poison frog: indications for strategic planning and adaptive plasticity in anuran parental care. Frontiers in Zoology 10: 67.
- Savage, J.M. (1968): The Dendrobatid frogs of Central American. Copeia 4: 745–776.
- Shepard, D.B., Caldwell, J.P. (2005): From foam to free-living: Ecology of larval *Leptodactylus labyrinthicus*. Copeia 4: 803-811.
- Smiseth, P., Kölliker, M., Royle, N.J. (2012): The evolution of parental care. Oxford University Press.
- Stearns, S.C. (1992): The Evolution of Life Histories. Oxford University Press.
- Stynoski, J.L. (2009): Discrimination of offspring by indirect recognition in an egg-feeding dendrobatid frog, *Oophaga* pumilio. Animal Behaviour 78: 1341–1356.

- Summers, K., Bermingham, E., Weigt, L., McCafferty, S., Dalstrom, L. (1997): Phenotypic and genetic divergence in three species of dart-poison frogs with contrasting parental behavior. Journal of Heredity 88: 8-13.
- Trivers, R.L. (1972): Parental investment and sexual selection. In: Sexual selection and the decent of man, p. 136-179. Campbell, B., Ed., Chicago, Aldine.
- Vockenhuber, E.A., Hödel, W., Amézquita, A. (2009): Glassy fathers do matter: Egg attendance enhances embryonic survivorship in the glass frog *Hyalinobatrachium valerioi*. Journal of Herpetology 43: 340-344.
- Wang, I.J., and H.B. Shaffer. (2008): Rapid color evolution in an aposematic species: a
- phylogenetic analysis of color variation in the strikingly polymorphic strawberry poison- dart frog. Evolution **62**: 2742–2759.
- Wells, K.D. (1977): The social behaviour of anuran amphibians. Animal Behaviour 25: 666-693.
- Weygoldt, P. (1980): Complex brood care and reproductive behavior in captive poison-arrow frogs, *Dendrobates pumilio*, O. Schmidt. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 7: 329–332.
- Young, A.M. (1979): Arboreal movement and tadpole-carrying behaviour of *Dendrobates pumilio* (Dendrobatidae) in Northeastern Costa Rica. Biotropica 11: 238-239.