Selection and Admixture in a Polytypic Aposematic Frog
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ABSTRACT: Phenotypic differentiation within polytypic species is often attributed to selection, particularly when selection might be acting on a trait that serves as a signal for predator avoidance and mate choice. We evaluated this hypothesis by examining phenotypic and genotypic clines between populations of the strawberry poison frog Oophaga pumilio, a polytypic species that exhibits aposematic color pattern variation that is thought to be subject to both natural and sexual selection. Our aim was to assess the extent of admixture and to estimate the strength of selection acting on coloration across a region of Panama where monomorphic populations of distinctly colored frogs are separated by polymorphic populations containing both color variants alongside intermediately colored individuals. We detected sharp clinal transitions across the study region, which is an expected outcome of strong selection, but we also detected evidence of widespread admixture, even at sites far from the phenotypic transition zone. Additionally, genotypic and phenotypic clines were neither concordant nor coincident, and with one exception, selection coefficients estimated from cline attributes were small. These results suggest that strong selection is not required for the maintenance of phenotypic divergence within polytypic species, challenging the long-standing notion that strong selection is implicit in the evolution of warning signals.
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Introduction

Strong selection is often identified as the most likely mechanism responsible for phenotypic variation within polytypic species (i.e., a species with two or more populations exhibiting distinct phenotypes), particularly those utilizing visual signals like aposematism (i.e., warning coloration) to mitigate predation risk (Mallet and Barton 1989). Although it is enticing to presume that strong selection results in the geographic organization of warning signals, a growing literature suggests that other mechanisms can also give rise to rapid color pattern divergence in polytypic species (Knowles and Richards 2005; Gehara et al. 2013; Runemark et al. 2014; Yeager and Barnett 2020), even in species exhibiting aposematic coloration (Brower 1994). For example, cycles of vicariance and reconnection can result in periods of geographic isolation during which genetic drift gives rise to divergence (Knowles and Richards 2005; Runemark et al. 2010, 2014; Gehara et al. 2013). Phenotypic differentiation may also result from a combination of selective and neutral processes (e.g., Runemark et al. 2010), including sequential mechanisms like coupled drift, where differences that initially arise as a consequence of genetic drift are then maintained or amplified by selection. While evidence for mechanisms like coupled drift remains elusive, tacit elevation of strong selection remains an increasingly tenuous approach for explaining the origins and maintenance of phenotypic differentiation, even in studies of aposematic species (Tazzyman and Iwasa 2010). Thus, gaining further insight into the geographic organization of aposematism could substantively improve understanding of among-population divergence in polytypic species.

The analysis of clinal transitions (i.e., tension zones) has proven to be a particularly informative approach for characterizing the conditions that foster and maintain phenotypic variation, including the nature and strength of selection acting across phenotypically divergent populations of polytypic species (Barton and Hewitt 1985). Clinal analysis involves examining admixture proportions and the characteristics of transitions across tension zones (Barton 1979; Barton and Hewitt 1985; Barton and Gale 1993). Determining the coincidence, concordance, stability, and shape of clines can provide a basis for drawing inferences about
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the underlying evolutionary, ecological, and organismal conditions, ranging from the nature and strength of selection to the genetic architecture (e.g., the number of loci) underlying phenotypic traits thought to be under selection (e.g., Nadeau et al. 2014; Vestergaard et al. 2015). Work on Heliconius butterflies, widely considered to be a model study system, illustrates how clinal analysis can improve understanding of warning color pattern variation across populations within a polytypic species (e.g., Mallet and Barton 1989; Mallet et al. 1990; Blum 2002, 2008; Nadeau et al. 2014; Rosser et al. 2014). A combination of observational (e.g., Mallet 1986; Mallet et al. 1990; Blum 2002, 2008) and experimental (e.g., Mallet and Barton 1989) work indicates that wing color pattern variation within Heliconius species can be a consequence of conventional forms of selection acting in conjunction with other processes, such as dominance drive (i.e., symmetric selection on phenotypes but asymmetric selection on alleles due to differences in dominance; Mallet 1986; Blum 2002). Likewise, understanding has been gained about the relative influence of factors shaping phenotypic divergence from clinal analyses of transition zones between distinct forms of the aposematic mimic poison frog Ranitomeya imitator (Twomey et al. 2016), pointing to the possibility that further progress could be made through additional study of other polytypic dendrobatid frogs.

Like Heliconius butterflies, dendrobatid poison frogs offer exceptional opportunities for studying the basis of intraspecific phenotypic divergence (Twomey et al. 2014, 2016; Vestergaard et al. 2015). A number of parallels exist between poison frogs and Heliconius butterflies. For instance, many poison frogs are aposematic prey species that exhibit warning coloration associated with defensive traits (Saporito et al. 2009; Yeager and Barnett 2021). And like in Heliconius butterflies, Müllerian and Batesian mimicry are present in some poison frog genera. For example, phenotypically distinct congeneric species in the genus Ranitomeya often occur in sympathy (Symula et al. 2001; Yeager et al. 2012; Twomey et al. 2014). Additionally, some evidence suggests that natural selection fosters phenotypic divergence in warning coloration by promoting prezygotic isolation through immigrant inviability, where local phenotypes are favored over nonlocal phenotypes (e.g., in Dendrobates tinctorius; Noonan and Comeault 2009). Warning coloration may also be utilized in mate assessment and intrasexual conflict (Seehausen and Schluter 2004; Cummings and Crothers 2013; Yang et al. 2016, 2018). Nonetheless, questions remain about the role of selection in promoting phenotypic differentiation within polytypic species of dendrobatid frogs (Twomey et al. 2014).

The strawberry poison frog Oophaga pumilio serves as a particularly useful system to study the basis of phenotypic divergence within dendrobatid frogs, in part because it exhibits a geographic mosaic of phenotypes (Daly and Myers 1967) across the Bocas del Toro region of Panama, including distinct color morphs inhabiting islands belonging to the Bocas del Toro archipelago (Wang and Shaffer 2008). The remarkable color pattern diversity in O. pumilio is generally thought to have evolved through a combination of strong natural and sexual selection (Summers et al. 1997; Brown et al. 2010), but some evidence suggests otherwise. For example, predation experiments offer mixed support for natural selection acting on color pattern in O. pumilio (Hegna et al. 2013; Richards-Zawacki et al. 2013; Dreher et al. 2015; Yeager 2015), possibly because contemporary predator-mediated natural selection pressures are weak. Mate choice experiments have demonstrated that females prefer color pattern phenotypes similar to their own in a mate (Summers et al. 1999; Reynolds and Fitzpatrick 2007; Maan and Cummings 2008), but preference in polymorphic populations has been found either to be assortative or to favor a single morph (Richards-Zawacki and Cummings 2010; Yang et al. 2016). Mating preferences are additionally influenced via imprinting during maternal food provisioning (Yang et al. 2019b). Despite this, O. pumilio from allopatic populations (i.e., those that inhabit different islands) readily interbreed in captivity, producing viable offspring (Summers et al. 2004) that do not exhibit intrinsic postzygotic incompatibilities (Dugas and Richards-Zawacki 2015).

In this study, we examined patterns of clinal variation to better understand the nature and strength of selection acting on O. pumilio. We focused on the Bocas del Toro region of Panama, where populations of monomorphic red- and blue-colored O. pumilio are separated by populations composed of both red and blue phenotypes along with individuals exhibiting intermediate phenotypes (Yang et al. 2018). We compared multilocus genotypic and multiple phenotypic clines to test the hypothesis that selection on coloration drives phenotypic divergence in O. pumilio. We did so by assessing the extent and distribution of admixture as well as the slope of clinal transitions, with the expectation that narrow sigmoidal (i.e., sharp) genotypic and phenotypic transitions are indicative of strong selection (Barton and Hewitt 1985; Barton and Gale 1993). We additionally tested for cline coincidence and concordance, which are also expected outcomes of strong selection (Barton and Hewitt 1985; Barton and Gale 1993; Cummings and Crothers 2013). We drew further inferences about the importance of selection on the basis of the geographic location of clinal transitions (e.g., associations with obvious exogenous changes that could reflect differences in selective pressures), and we estimated the strength of selection according to the structure of genotypic and phenotypic clines. This allowed us to derive further insights about
the conditions underlying phenotypic differentiation in *O. pumilio*, providing new perspectives on whether and how selection contributes to the evolution of polytypic species.

**Material and Methods**

**Study Area and Populations**

Sites were sampled across a transect in the Bocas del Toro region (fig. 1) that spanned a transition zone from monomorphic red populations on the mainland and San Cristobal Island to monomorphic blue populations on the southern Aguacate peninsula (hereafter referred to as the "Aguacate transition zone"). Populations of frogs exhibiting a mixture of phenotypes, ranging from red to intermediate (brown) to blue, were found at intervening locations across the northern part of the Aguacate peninsula (fig. 1).

Although the ancestry of the study populations remains unresolved, prior work suggests that the diversity of *Oophaga pumilio* phenotypes in the archipelago and adjacent mainland regions arose from a widely distributed ancestral red-bodied phenotype (Wang and Shaffer 2008). Repeated colonization of the archipelago may have fostered evolutionary diversification (Wang and Shaffer 2008), but mitochondrial DNA sequence variation (Hagemann and Pröhl 2007) indicates that there are only three main lineages of *O. pumilio*: a northern (Costa Rica), a southern (Bocas del Toro, Panama), and an eastern (Isla Escudo de Veraguas, Panama) lineage. The northern and southern lineages of *O. pumilio* appear to transition north of the Aguacate peninsula, although some individuals from two mainland populations and San Cristobal Island farther south exhibit genotypes that are similar to the northern lineage (Hauswaldt et al. 2011). There is also evidence (e.g., amplified fragment length polymorphisms; Rudh et al. 2007) that Panamanian populations from Cerro Brujo on the Aguacate peninsula and nearby San Cristobal Island form a genetic cluster that is distinct from other populations within the archipelago. These divisions suggest that the northern and southern lineages diverged in allopatry as a result of a vicariant event, whereas the contemporary admixed population distributions are the result of secondary contact (Hauswaldt et al. 2011).

**Specimen Collections**

We collected toe-clip tissue samples of *O. pumilio* from monomorphic and polymorphic areas across the Aguacate transition zone and from reference populations outside the zone. We collected tissues from a total of 491 individuals from 30 locations (fig. 1; table 1) between June 2009 and December 2012. We collected samples from 16–22 individuals from each of 14 locations in the Aguacate transition zone where frogs are polymorphic, with collection sites spaced ≥250 m apart. We sampled individuals from monomorphic red populations at six locations (three near Almirante, three on Isla San Cristobal) in close proximity to the polymorphic populations. Likewise, we sampled individuals from six monomorphic blue populations across the southern part of the Aguacate peninsula (fig. 1). To allow for broader comparisons, we also sampled three populations that exhibit markedly different color patterns from those in and proximate to the transition zone (fig. 1; site 23: blue/green; sites 24 and 25: black/white). We preserved tissue samples in a salt-saturated dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) solution and stored them at room temperature prior to DNA extraction.

**Phenotypic Trait Measurements**

We characterized dorsal and ventral coloration to assess the nature of phenotypic variation across the study area (fig. 1). Although the extent of dorsal black spotting differed among individuals, all exhibited a uniform background coloration, which spanned a continuum from red to intermediate (i.e., brown) to blue across the Aguacate transition zone (fig. 1). Considering this, we quantified dorsal phenotype by eye at the time of capture using a scale of 0 to 4 (0 = blue; 1 = blue/brown; 2 = brown; 3 = red/brown; 4 = red). Unlike dorsal coloration, we did not detect intermediate brown ventral coloration. Frogs from the transition zone exhibited either a single uniform ventral color (red or blue) or exhibited a mottled venter of red and blue patches. We therefore used a different scale to score the proportional composition of red and blue ventral coloration: 0 = entirely blue; 1 = 75% blue, 25% red; 2 = 50% blue, 50% red; 3 = 75% red, 25% blue; 4 = entirely red. The utility of this type of “by-eye” phenotypic categorization for this population has been supported by comparisons with more quantitative analyses of standardized color photographs (Dugas et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2019a). We confirmed this for our study by drawing comparisons to composite scores based on color photographs on a standardized background (Rite in the Rain paper) for a subset of frogs from monomorphic and polymorphic populations (n = 277; table 1). As both approaches yielded quantitatively and qualitatively similar results (table 2; supplemental PDF), we used the larger data set of categorical by-eye scores to characterize dorsal and ventral phenotype and to compare phenotypic to genotypic variation across the transition zone. In all subsequent analyses, we considered each body surface as a separate trait because dorsal coloration is thought to be under sexual and natural selection whereas ventral coloration is thought to be under
Figure 1: Maps of sampled locations showing phenotypic (top) and genotypic (bottom) transitions. Pie charts show the relative frequencies of frog coloration (top; determined using red, blue, and intermediate categorical phenotype scores of 1–3, binned for simplicity) and genotype assignments (bottom; $K = 4$: blue, purple, orange, and green) at each locality. Brown color indicates intermediate morphs (representative photo in the top right inset) or admixed individuals (bottom). The top left inset shows the study area within the Bocas del Toro archipelago and adjacent mainland; the top right inset shows sampling along the Aguacate transition zone in the vicinity of Dolphin Bay. Although excluded from clinal analyses, adjacent distinctly colored populations (23: blue/green; 24, 25: black/white) are included for reference.
Microsatellite Genotyping

We genotyped all 491 individuals (fig. 1; table 1) at 12 highly variable microsatellite markers to estimate clinal variation at putatively neutral loci. We extracted genomic DNA from toe clippings using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following the protocol for animal tissue (https://www.qiagen.com/us/resources/download.aspx?id=68f29296-5a9f-40fa-8b3d-1c148d0b3038&lang=en; accessed August 4, 2021). We then genotyped each individual at the following loci using primers that were developed for *O. pumilio*: Dpum92, Dpum44, and Dpum110 (Wang and Summers 2009) as well as Oop_O1, Oop_G5, Oop_C11, Oop_E3, Oop_F1, Oop_B8, Oop_B9, Oop_C3, and Oop_D4 (Hauswaldt et al. 2009). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications were done in 10-μL reaction volumes that included 4 μL of GoTaq Green Master mix (Promega, Madison, WI) and 0.5 μL of a 10-μM solution containing each of the forward and reverse primers. In reactions with the Hauswaldt et al. (2009) primers, we used 1 μL of undiluted genomic DNA, whereas reactions using the Wang and Summers (2009) primers contained 1.5 μL of undiluted genomic DNA plus 1 μL of 2.5 mM MgCl₂. Thermal cycling conditions followed Hauswaldt et al. (2009) for the Oop primers except that

Table 1: Number of *Oophaga pumilio* sampled (N) from monomorphic and polymorphic populations in Bocas del Toro, Panama, and summary statistics for genetic diversity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>N_MSAT</th>
<th>N_DRGB</th>
<th>N_VRGB</th>
<th>Distance, km</th>
<th>No. alleles</th>
<th>Hₑ</th>
<th>Hₑ</th>
<th>Blue</th>
<th>Inter.</th>
<th>Red</th>
<th>Blue</th>
<th>Inter.</th>
<th>Red</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.040</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>.846</td>
<td>.908</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.314</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>.829</td>
<td>.907</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.011</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>.818</td>
<td>.922</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.610</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>.806</td>
<td>.913</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.794</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>.828</td>
<td>.920</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.104</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>.714</td>
<td>.869</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.208</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.770</td>
<td>.862</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.250</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.795</td>
<td>.871</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.613</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.781</td>
<td>.853</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.814</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>.788</td>
<td>.896</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.734</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>.846</td>
<td>.927</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.752</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>.754</td>
<td>.901</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.867</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>.854</td>
<td>.913</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.953</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>.833</td>
<td>.922</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.718</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>.801</td>
<td>.901</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.888</td>
<td>.752</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.864</td>
<td>.828</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.749</td>
<td>.847</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.429</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.875</td>
<td>.876</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.939</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.861</td>
<td>.906</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>.824</td>
<td>.874</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.987</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.779</td>
<td>.846</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.520</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.778</td>
<td>.838</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.828</td>
<td>.892</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.806</td>
<td>.839</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.658</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>.808</td>
<td>.894</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.324</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.771</td>
<td>.873</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.518</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.833</td>
<td>.844</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.461</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.833</td>
<td>.868</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.486</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>.719</td>
<td>.834</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Categorical dorsal and ventral phenotypic scores were recorded for all individuals. The N_MSAT column refers to the number of frogs used in genotypic analyses, and the N_DRGB and N_VRGB columns show the number of frogs for which standardized color photographs of the dorsum and venter, respectively, were available for composite RGB analyses (supplemental PDF). Distance refers to the linear distance (km) from the northernmost Isla San Cristobal population (location 21), which was used to anchor cline analyses. Almirante populations were omitted from cline analyses. Locality data were intentionally omitted to discourage illegal collection.

Hₑ = expected heterozygosity; Hₒ = observed heterozygosity.

only sexual selection (Maan and Cummings 2008; Cummings and Crothers 2013).
we used an annealing temperature of 55°C. Reaction conditions followed Wang and Summers (2009) for the Dpum primers except that we used an annealing temperature of 52°C for Dpum44 and of 60°C for Dpum110. We characterized PCR products on an ABI 3730xl (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA) and scored them using GeneMarker (ver. 1.90; Softgenetics, State College, PA) against a LIZ 500 size standard (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA).

Analysis of Genetic Differentiation and Admixture

We characterized patterns of microsatellite allelic variation to infer the extent of genetic differentiation and admixture across the Aguacate transition zone. We tested for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, calculated allele frequencies between sampled populations, and estimated observed \((H_o)\) and expected \((H_e)\) heterozygosity in Arlequin (ver. 3.5; Excoffier et al. 2005; table 1). We also calculated pairwise \(FST\) values to gain greater perspective on the nature and degree of genetic variation among the sampled populations. We used STRUCTURE (ver. 2.3.4; Pritchard et al. 2000) to iteratively explore the possibility of hierarchical genetic structure according to geography and phenotype as well as to determine the most appropriate “parental” red population to include in clinal analyses. This involved running an initial set of five independent analyses of the full data set (which included both potential parental monomorphic red populations from Almirante and San Cristobal) with \(K\) values ranging from 1 to 15 and with 30,000 burn-in runs and 3,000,000 data collection runs. Results of all runs were visualized with the main pipeline from CLUMPAK (http://clumpak.tau.ac.il/index.html) to assess population structure and the extent of admixture within individuals and populations.

Clinal Variation in Genotypic and Phenotypic Traits

We used the R (ver. 3.6.0; R Core Team 2020) package HZAR (Derryberry et al. 2014) to describe clinal transitions in the frequency of multilocus genotypes, dorsal color phenotypes, and ventral color phenotypes across the Aguacate transition zone. We generated linear distances in HZAR using monomorphic red and monomorphic blue populations as the termini of the multilocus genotypic and phenotypic clines. We then built genotypic clines using average admixture frequencies from the STRUCTURE analyses that revealed the most likely number of genetic clusters within the sampled populations. Phenotypic clines were built using categorical scores for dorsal and ventral coloration. For comparison, we also built clines using composite scores based on principle component analysis (PCA) and \(K\)-means clustering of RGB values extracted from color photographs (supplemental PDF).

HZAR fits cline models to both molecular and phenotypic traits utilizing Metropolis-Hastings Monte Carlo Markov chain (MCMC) algorithms by applying likelihood function tests for alternative cline model shapes. The Auto-fit feature in HZAR automates model selection between 10 quantitative trait model options for genotypic and phenotypic clines that vary in scaling (fixed, free, and none) and tail (left, right, mirror, both, and none) options to describe the shape of clinal transitions using Akaike’s information criterion (AIC, Akaike 1973). Although the majority of the transition within a trait usually occurs over the width of the cline, some transitions can continue past the width into the tails (i.e., approaching putative monomorphic populations),

### Table 2: Summary of phenotypic and genotypic cline models, including estimated centers, widths, and Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) and likelihood values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cline</th>
<th>Selection coefficient</th>
<th>Estimate (95% CI), km</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>Loglik</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. femoralis</td>
<td>R. imitator</td>
<td>O. pumilio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal</td>
<td>.0027</td>
<td>.0778</td>
<td>.0008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral</td>
<td>.0038</td>
<td>.1076</td>
<td>.0011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotypic</td>
<td>.0089</td>
<td>.2552</td>
<td>.0027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral PC1</td>
<td>.0016</td>
<td>.0447</td>
<td>.0005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal PC1</td>
<td>.0015</td>
<td>.0426</td>
<td>.0004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Cline scaling and tails refer to elements of the shape of clines. All distance measures are presented in kilometers starting from the anchor location on Isla San Cristobal (location 21; fig. 1). Selection coefficient estimates are reported for low-dispersal and high-dispersal distance estimates. Cline results in boldface type correspond to those illustrated in figure 3, and those not in boldface type are shown in figure S2. CI = confidence interval; loglik = log likelihood.
which can indicate a breakdown in the strength of selection. “Left” and “right” tail models indicate that gene flow is higher in the direction of one tail than predicted by cline width, whereas “mirror” tail models have identical tail shape. The “both” tail model refers to two independent tail shapes, and the “none” tail model assumes that tails do not differ from the sigmoidal transition cline. Maximum likelihood cline profiles were used to select the cline model shape, and 95% confidence intervals and likelihood profiles were used to test coincidence and concordance between genotypic and multiple phenotypic clines.

We tested model fitness against the null model of no change across the transect. We accepted models with AIC scores within two units of the lowest AIC value \( \text{AIC} = -2(\log\text{Lik}) + 2K \). We then generated cline center and width support estimates in HZAR from the set of MCMC clines within two log-likelihood units of the maximum likelihood. We assessed cline concordance and coincidence between traits (i.e., genotype, dorsal phenotype, and ventral phenotype) by comparing relative AIC values \( \Delta \text{AIC relative to the minimum AIC} \) of the likelihood profile. If the cline models selected for each given value differed by \( \geq 2 \) AIC values, then those clines were classified as noncoincident for cline centers or discordant for cline widths (Burnham and Anderson 2002; Anderson 2008).

**Selection Coefficients**

We estimated the strength of selection in maintaining clinal transitions by calculating the selection coefficient \( s \) from the structure of each of the genotypic and phenotypic clines, where

\[
s = \frac{8\sigma^2}{w}.
\]

Following Barton and Hewitt (1985), this estimation takes into account the width of a cline \( w \) as well as linear intergenerational dispersal distances \( \sigma \). Because little is known about intergenerational dispersal in poison frogs in general, we used values from two related poison frogs representing low and high dispersal estimates, which almost certainly bracket the intergenerational dispersal distance of *O. pumilio: Allobates femoralis*, which has an average annual adult dispersal of 17.8 m (Ringler et al. 2009); and *Ranitomeya imitator*, a species with a more similar natural history for which a generational dispersal of 97 m has been estimated (for an explanation of the derivation of this estimate, see the supplemental materials for Twomey et al. 2014). For further comparison, we also used an average annual adult dispersal value of 9.74 m estimated from a mark-recapture study of adult *O. pumilio* (M. Dugas, unpublished data), keeping in mind that sexual maturity in *O. pumilio* takes approximately one year to achieve (Yang et al. 2019b), during which time juveniles likely disperse from natal territories.

**Results**

### Genetic Population Structure and Relationships

Significant pairwise \( F_{ST} \) values ranging from 0.02 to 0.11 were recovered between geographically proximate populations exhibiting distinct color phenotypes like the mainland monomorphic red (16–18) and nearby black/white (24, 25) populations (fig. 1). Likewise, pairwise \( F_{ST} \) values ranged from 0.03 to 0.11 between phenotypically similar but geographically distant populations, such as the monomorphic red populations on the mainland (16–18) and San Cristobal (7, 14, 21; fig. 1). A similar range of pairwise \( F_{ST} \) values were recovered in comparisons of monomorphic red and blue populations that served as anchors in clinal analyses.

STRUCTURE runs revealed that the most likely number of genetic clusters \( K \) supported by the full data set is two, roughly separating individuals and populations according to dominant dorsal color phenotype. The STRUCTURE runs including only one of the putative parental red populations (respectively) indicated that the most likely number of genetic clusters \( K \) supported in each of the two data subsets was four, and the insular San Cristobal monomorphic red populations were identified as the most genetically similar to the populations in the polymorphic region on the Afulacate peninsula. Accordingly, the San Cristobal populations were included in the analysis of a reduced data set encompassing individuals from the transition zone along with blue, black/white, and blue/green populations in the study area. The San Cristobal populations also were used to anchor cline analyses.

### Genotype-Phenotype Admixture within Individuals and Populations

STRUCTURE analyses revealed evidence of genotype-phenotype discordance, where genetic assignments were often dissimilar among frogs exhibiting similar phenotypes (figs. 1, 2). STRUCTURE analyses also recovered evidence of individual and population-level admixture across the study area (figs. 1, 2). Individual-level admixture was most evident across the phenotypic transition zone, including in the red monomorphic Isla San Cristobal populations, which suggests that our sampling did not capture the north-northwestern end of clinal transitions across the study area. In comparison, evidence of little to no admixture in the terminal monomorphic blue populations indicates that we did capture the south-southeastern end of the clinal transitions (fig. 2). Likewise, we detected evidence of individual and population-level admixture at sites
Figure 2: Results of STRUCTURE assignments averaged over five independent analyses of the Isla San Cristobal–anchored reduced data set showing genetic clustering across the study transect. K values of 2–4 are shown in separate panels. Information provided for reference includes the sample locations (numbers at the bottom), population-wide dorsal phenotype classification (top bar), and individual categorical dorsal phenotype scores (middle bar), where red indicates the red phenotype (categorical score of 4), brown indicates the intermediate phenotype (categorical score of 1–3), blue indicates the blue phenotype (categorical score of 0), and black indicates other.
located some distance away from the zone (fig. 2). Some individuals in monomorphic blue populations, however, exhibited admixed genotypic assignments drawing from three genetic clusters according to STRUCTURE runs from the reduced data set with assignments at $K = 4$ (figs. 1, 2). Patterns of admixture with cluster assignments at $K = 2$ were similar to cluster assignments at $K = 4$ for a few samples, however, signatures of admixture at $K = 2$ were found in monomorphic red populations as well as monomorphic blue populations. Populations within the phenotypic transition region also exhibited variable levels of admixture with assignments to one or the other cluster as well as signatures of within-population admixture.

**Genotypic and Phenotypic Clinal Variation**

Hereafter we focus on genotypic clines and phenotypic clines generated from categorical scoring of dorsal and ventral coloration. Clinal analyses of composite scores from PCA and $K$-means clustering of RGB values derived from photographs yielded nearly identical results (supplemental PDF). The cline reflecting multilocus genotypic variation exhibited a steep transition with a width of 0.08 km ($<0.01–0.10$ km) and an estimated center of 9.56 km (8.87–10.45 km) from the transect terminus (table 2). The genotypic cline exhibited no difference in sigmoidal shape past the estimated width of the cline (i.e., into the tails), and the tail shape on both sides of the transition was symmetrical although not identical. The dorsal and ventral phenotypic clines also exhibited steep transitions (table 2; fig. 3). Clines generated from categorical phenotypic scores exhibited widths of 0.86 km (0.81–0.92 km) and 0.45 km (0.45–0.55 km), with estimated centers located at 7.52 km (7.46–7.55 km) and 7.25 km (7.12–7.26 km) from the transect terminus, respectively (table 2; fig. 3). Similar to the genotypic cline, the shapes of the tails did not depart from a sigmoidal transition.

**Comparison of Genotype and Phenotypic Clines**

The dorsal and ventral phenotypic clines were not coincident or concordant (fig. 3; fig. S2). We also found that the genotypic cline was not coincident or concordant with any of the phenotypic clines (table 2; figure 3). The genotypic cline was offset from and narrower than all of the phenotypic clines.

**Estimation of Selection**

We recovered lower selection coefficient values for phenotypic clines than the genotypic cline. Selection coefficients derived from the categorical dorsal phenotype cline were 0.003, 0.078, and 0.001 based on adult dispersal distance estimates derived from *Allobates femoralis*, *Ranitomeya imitator*, and *Oophaga pumilio*, respectively. Selection coefficients derived from the categorical ventral phenotype cline were 0.004, 0.108, and 0.001, respectively (table 2), and selection coefficients derived from the genotypic cline were estimated as 0.009, 0.255, and 0.003, respectively.

**Discussion**

We examined naturally occurring genotypic and phenotypic clinal variation in the strawberry poison frog *Oophaga pumilio* to gain further insight into the strength of selection acting on a polytypic species displaying aposematic coloration. We did not find patterns of clinal concordance and coincidence that would be expected under conditions of strong selection acting on an adaptive trait such as warning coloration (Barton and Hewitt 1985; Barton and Gale 1993). Rather, we found that the estimated genotypic cline across the Aguacate transition zone is displaced from phenotypic clines. We also found that the estimated genotypic transition is much sharper than phenotypic transitions, further indicating that genetic boundaries among color morphs are not solely a reflection of selection-driven phenotypic differentiation. Selection coefficient estimates were largely consistent with inferences derived from the shape and location of genotypic and phenotypic clinal transitions. With one exception ($s = 0.2552$ for the genotypic cline, assuming high dispersal), selection coefficients estimated from the structure of phenotypic and genotypic clines were modest to remarkably small ($s = 0.0027–0.1076$) for *O. pumilio*, particularly in comparison to coefficients estimated for other polytypic aposematic species like *Heliconius* butterflies ($s = 0.21–0.64$; Benson 1972; Mallet 1986; Mallet et al. 1990; Blum 2002, 2008) that exhibit color pattern variation shaped by strong natural and sexual selection (Mallet and Barton 1989; Kapan 2001).

The estimated cline widths for *O. pumilio* were narrower than what would be expected under conditions of neutral diffusion (Endler 1977), indicating that constraints limit the diffusion of alleles and phenotypic traits across the Aguacate transition zone. Assuming that the most recent contact between Isla San Cristobal and the mainland occurred approximately 1,000 years ago (Anderson and Handley 2002) and that dispersal in *O. pumilio* is comparable to closely related poison frogs (Ringler et al. 2009; Twomey et al. 2014; M. Dugas, unpublished data), neutral diffusion would yield cline widths of 1.41–7.7 km. Evidence of steeper clines suggests that selection, limited dispersal, or a combination thereof is shaping transitions across the geographic mosaic of aposematic polytypism in *O. pumilio* (Barton and Hewitt 1985; Barton and Gale 2001).
Strong natural selection is often considered to be the most parsimonious explanation of variation in aposematic warning coloration exhibited by poison frogs (Noonan and Comeault 2009), but our findings buoy evidence from prior studies of *O. pumilio* indicating otherwise (Richards-Zawacki and Cummings 2010; Gehara et al. 2013; Dreher et al. 2015).

Several lines of reasoning point to limited dispersal being a key factor shaping clinal transitions across the Aguacate peninsula. For example, *O. pumilio* maintain small, well-defended territories (Pröhl and Berke 2001), and although intergenerational (i.e., juvenile and adult) dispersal distances are not known for *O. pumilio*, adult dispersal distances appear to be limited (M. Dugas, unpublished data). Likewise, other closely related poison frogs exhibit relatively small adult dispersal distances (Ringler et al. 2009; Twomey et al. 2014). Additional support for the potential importance of limited dispersal can be distilled from comparisons to other notable transition zones. For instance, clines across transition zones of more vagile species in Panama are much broader (i.e., by one to two orders of magnitude), including clines in traits that are known to be under selection, such as coloration in *Heliconius* butterflies and *Manacus* birds (Mallet 1986; Brumfield et al. 2001; Blum 2002). A hybrid zone in Europe between *Bombina* toads, which exhibit much wider clines (~6 km; Szymura 1993) than those of *O. pumilio*, offers an additional point of comparison, as *Bombina* toads are much more vagile than...
dendrobatids (e.g., Bombina) exhibit intergenerational dispersal distances of \( \sim 430 \) m. Additional study of *O. pumilio* dispersal, particularly during the juvenile life stage, is thus warranted to further understand the evolution of polytypic differentiation.

Some evidence indicates that sexual selection may be acting in combination with limited dispersal to sharpen clinal transitions (Summers et al. 1999; Reynolds and Fitzpatrick 2007; Maan and Cummings 2008; Richards-Zawacki and Cummings 2010). This inference is supported by the estimated selection coefficients suggesting that selection is a weak to moderate force acting on *O. pumilio*. It is also consistent with inferences about the strength of natural and sexual selection derived from experimental studies of *O. pumilio* conducted in Bocas del Toro and elsewhere (Hegna et al. 2013; Richards-Zawacki et al. 2013; Dreher et al. 2015; Yeager 2015). For instance, several predation experiments did not find evidence of strong natural selection acting on coloration, where predator attack frequencies were low and the frequencies of attack did not differ among *O. pumilio* phenotypes (Hegna et al. 2013; Richards-Zawacki et al. 2013; Dreher et al. 2015; Yeager 2015). Similarly, studies examining sexual selection found evidence of weak color-based female preferences (Yang et al. 2016) and male aggressive biases (Yang et al. 2018) among wild individuals from the study area. On the other hand, captive frogs do exhibit color assortative preferences (Yang et al. 2019b) and color assortative mating has been detected in one population from the Aguacate transition zone (Yang et al. 2019a).

Red and yellow frogs in the only other well-studied polymorphic zone (located on the island of Bastimentos) also appear to exhibit strong assortative mate preference but not asymmetric mate choice (Richards-Zawacki and Cummings 2010; Richards-Zawacki et al. 2012). Greater insight might be gained from drawing comparisons to other transition zones, as illustrated in a recent study of three transition zones between distinct forms of the mimic poison frog *Ranitomeya imitator* that revealed evidence of variation in the relative influence of factors shaping phenotypic divergence (Twomey et al. 2016).

Sexual selection could possibly be contributing to phenotypic divergence as a consequence of coupled drift. In a model of coupled drift based on *O. pumilio*, divergence in phenotypes is predicted to initially arise as a consequence of drift, after which selection via female mate choice maintains or increases phenotypic divergence between populations (Tazzyman and Iwasa 2010). Notably, a comparable outcome would be expected to arise from learned mate choice (Yang et al. 2019b). Pedigree analyses of wild populations (Richards-Zawacki et al. 2012) coupled with further observations of the mating patterns of wild frogs (Yang et al. 2019a) could clarify the extent to which sexual selection (Richards-Zawacki et al. 2012; Yang et al. 2019b) or coupled drift (Tazzyman and Iwasa 2010) drives divergence in *O. pumilio*.

Consideration of cline widths alongside other attributes bolsters the inference that selection is probably not the only or most important factor underlying phenotypic divergence within *O. pumilio*. For example, evidence of steep clines is consistent with a scenario of geographic separation caused by sea level rise, which could have resulted in secondary contact following periods of vicariant isolation (Gehara et al. 2013). While this would help explain why the present location of the cline centers is at or near the Aguacate shoreline, evidence of clinal discordance and incongruency does not align with expected outcomes of secondary contact (Barton and Hewitt 1985; Barton and Gale 1993). Steep clines also can be associated with ecological discontinuities that inhibit gene flow between ecologically divergent populations (Barton and Hewitt 1985; Barton and Gale 1993; Jiggins et al. 1997; McMillan et al. 1997; Arias et al. 2008; Blum 2008), but this scenario does not seem plausible, as the Aguacate transition zone in *O. pumilio* crosses a short geographic distance that does not correspond to an obvious ecological gradient. Rather, the clines may have been drawn to the Aguacate shoreline due to density troughs (i.e., where population densities are at a minimum) in unsuitable coastal habitats like open water, mangroves, or brackish marshes (Barton and Hewitt 1985; Barton and Gale 1993). Comparing population densities in and around the estimated cline centers could offer a basis for testing this hypothesis.

Evidence of weak selection and cline displacement suggests that genotypic and phenotypic clines at color pattern boundaries in *O. pumilio* may be unstable and prone to movement. While the observed cline transition shapes were steep, indicating that sharp genotypic and phenotypic segregation occurs over small geographic distances, we nonetheless found that the center of the genotypic cline is displaced into the geographic region dominated by frogs exhibiting a monomorphic blue phenotype. This indicates that “red frog” genotypes have introgressed into blue populations. Displacement and introgression can be due to greater permeability of neutral loci and traits (e.g., microsatellite loci) across contact zones, but the observed asymmetry across the Aguacate transition zone suggests that other factors may be structuring gene flow among neighboring populations. It is possible, for example, that the observed pattern is a reflection of genetic asymmetries, such as dominance drive (Mallet 1986; Blum 2002), or that red frog genomic attributes confer a selective advantage, perhaps reflected in female preferences for red coloration (Yang et al. 2016). If so, then the genotypic cline could be highly mobile (e.g., Blum 2002; Ward et al. 2012; Glotzbecker et al. 2016), where elements of the red frog genome would be expected to introgress deeper into the Aguacate peninsula,
resulting in greater genotype-phenotype discordance over time.

While our study falls short of identifying the specific mechanism(s) underlying rapid color pattern divergence in *O. pumilio*, to our knowledge it is the first to offer evidence of weak selection alongside genotypic and phenotypic clinal discordance in an aposmotic species. Further work could bolster our findings, but it could also show that we have underestimated the strength of selection acting on color pattern variation in *O. pumilio*. It is possible, for example, that weak selection coefficients represent the balance of agonistic interactions or opposing selection pressures (e.g., sexual selection acting in opposition to natural selection). It is also possible that our estimates do not reflect other forms of selection, such as episodic bouts that generate or maintain divergence. Nonetheless, our findings challenge prevailing arguments by indicating that natural selection is likely just one among several factors contributing to aposmotic color variation in poison frogs like *O. pumilio*, underscoring the value of employing complementary approaches to infer the origins and outcomes of phenotypic divergence in polytypic species.
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"Within the edge of the crater, looking across to the lava beds on S. E. side, forming the highest point of the summit." From "Ascent of the Volcano of Popocatapetl" by A. S. Packard (The American Naturalist, 1886, 20:109–123).